

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,218	09/08/2003	Ernst Peter Strecker	12013/56004	1060
23838 7590 08/18/2008 KENYON & KENYON LLP			EXAMINER	
1500 K STREET N.W.			WILLSE, DAVID H	
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	. ,		3738	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary    Application No.   10666.218   STRECKER, ERNST PETER		1	1						
Examiner   Dave Willse   Dave Villse   Dav		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) <u>Jocelyn D. Ram.</u> (3)	Interview Summary	· ·							
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) Jocelyn D. Ram.  (3)									
(1) Jocelyn D. Ram.  (2) Exmr. Willse.  Date of Interview: 14 August 2008.  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description:		Dave Willse	3738						
(2) Exmr. Willse.  Date of Interview: 14 August 2008:  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ Applicant 3 ☐	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):								
Date of Interview: 14 August 2008.  Type: a	(1) <u>Jocelyn D. Ram</u> .	(3)							
Type: a  Telephonic b)  Video Conference c)  Personal (copy given to: 1)  applicant 2)  applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e)  No.  If Yes, brief description:	(2) Exmr. Willse.	(4)							
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) yes elif Yes, brief description:	Date of Interview: 14 August 2008.								
If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 33, 34, 36, 49, 51-53, 56.  Identification of prior art discussed: Schwartz, US 5,957,971.  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant presented arguments pertaining to Schwartz not teaching or fairly suggesting the through holes as claimed. Other features pertaining to drug delivery were also discussed. If an RCE is necessary, the examiner agreed that the Applicant will likely be permitted to shift to withdrawn claims 60-73.  A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW. SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative	e]						
Identification of prior art discussed: Schwartz, US 5,957,971.  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant presented arguments pertaining to Schwartz not teaching or fairly suggesting the through holes as claimed. Other features pertaining to Schwartz not teaching or fairly suggesting the through holes as aclaimed. Other features pertaining to drug delivery were also discussed. If an RCE is necessary, the examiner agreed that the Applicant will likely be permitted to shift to withdrawn claims 60-73.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		e)⊠ No.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant presented arguments pertaining to Schwartz not teaching or fairly suggesting the through holes as claimed. Other features pertaining to drug delivery were also discussed. If an RCE is necessary, the examiner agreed that the Applicant will likely be permitted to shift to withdrawn claims 60-73.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERCIDO OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Claim(s) discussed: 33, 34, 36, 49, 51-53, 56.								
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant presented arguments pertaining to Schwartz not teaching or fairly suggesting the through holes as claimed. Other features pertaining to drug delivery were also discussed. If an RCE is necessary, the examiner agreed that the Applicant will likely be permitted to shift to withdrawn claims 60-73.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Identification of prior art discussed: Schwartz, US 5,957,971.								
reached, or any other comments: The Applicant presented arguments pertaining to Schwartz not teaching or fairly suggesting the through holes as claimed. Other features pertaining to drug delivery were also discussed. If an RCE is necessary, the examiner agreed that the Applicant will likely be permitted to shift to withdrawn claims 60-73.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A.								
	reached, or any other comments: The Applicant presented arguments pertaining to Schwartz not teaching or fairly suggesting the through holes as claimed. Other features pertaining to drug delivery were also discussed. If an RCE is necessary, the examiner agreed that the Applicant will likely be permitted to shift to withdrawn claims 60-73.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview								